IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JESSICA MARLENE BARRETT,)
Plaintiff,)
v.) Case No. CIV-14-314-JHP-KEW
CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration,)))
Defendant.)

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter comes before the Court on Claimant's Application for Award of Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act (Docket Entry #23). By Order entered May 27, 2016, United States District Judge James Payne who presides over this case referred the subject Motion to the undersigned for the entry of Findings and a Recommendation. By Order and Judgment entered September 30, 2015, Judge Payne reversed the decision of the Commissioner to deny Claimant's application for supplemental security income under Title XVI of the Social Security Act and remanded the case for further proceedings.

In the Motion, Claimant seeks attorney's fees for 28.40 hours of time expended by her attorney at the stipulated fee rate and 3.50 hours for counsel's paralegal for a total request of \$5,729.00 under the authority of the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). The Commissioner contests the award of EAJA fees, contending her position in the underlying case was substantially justified. Because Claimant was required to file a reply to the Commissioner's

objection, she filed a Supplemental Application requesting an additional \$451.20 representing 2.40 hours of attorney time for legal research and brief preparation. The Commissioner did not object to this request.

EAJA provides that a prevailing party other than the United States shall be awarded fees and costs unless the court finds the position of the United States was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust. 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). With respect to EAJA applications in Social Security cases, Defendant has the burden of showing that her position was substantially justified. Hadden v. Bowen, 851 F.2d 1266, 1267 (10th Cir. 1988). Defendant must prove that, even if her position is incorrect, her case had a reasonable basis in law and in fact. Id. To establish substantial justification, Defendant must show that there is a genuine dispute and that reasonable people could differ concerning the propriety of a particular agency action. Pierce v. Underwood, 487 U.S. 552, 565 (1987). The government's "position can be justified even though it is not correct . . . and it can be substantially (i.e., for the most part) justified if a reasonable person could think it correct . . ." <u>Id</u>. at 566 n.2.

Clearly, Claimant constituted the prevailing party in accordance with this Court's decision. The Commissioner contends that the ALJ adequately considered and gave diminished weight to the opinion of the consultative physician, Dr. Palacio-Hollmon. The

ALJ failed to provide an adequate factual basis for doing so in his opinion in accordance with the prevailing authority in the Tenth Circuit. Moreover, while affording their opinions great weight, the ALJ failed to consider the limitation on interacting with supervisors and co-workers found by Drs. Pearce and Hartley. With regard to the opinion of Ms. Wells, a counselor, the ALJ's opinion lacked a specific and legitimate basis for rejecting the opinion of a treating, though non-acceptable medical source. The overwhelming lack of detail in the ALJ's explanation of his decision cannot be substantially justified when considering an award of EAJA fees.

IT IS THEREFORE THE RECOMMENDATION OF THIS COURT that Claimant's Application for Award of Attorney's Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act (Docket Entry #23) and Supplemental Application for Award of Attorney's Fees (Docket Entry #26) be GRANTED and that the Government be ordered to pay Claimant's attorney's fees in the total amount of \$6,180.20.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that, in accordance with the ruling of the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals, the award shall be made to Claimant as the prevailing party and not directly to Claimant's counsel. Manning v. Astrue, 510 F.3d 1246, 1255 (10th Cir. 2007); 28 U.S.C. § 2412(b). In addition, should Claimant's counsel ultimately be awarded attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1), counsel shall refund the smaller amount to Claimant. Weakley v. Bowen, 803 F.2d 575, 580 (10th Cir. 1986).

The parties are herewith given fourteen (14) days from the

date of the service of these Findings and Recommendation to file with the Clerk of the court any objections, with supporting brief. Failure to object to the Findings and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days will preclude appellate review of this decision by the District Court based on the findings made herein.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 21st day of November, 2016.

KIMBERLY E. WEST

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Huming & Sheet